



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twelfth day of May Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.10921 of 2022

1 VINCLAR @ RICHARD JOHN VINCLAR [PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED]
2 BENNY @ BENNY CHRISTIANAN
3 NEETHIDOSS

Vs

STATE REP BY [RESPONDENT]
THE INSPETOR OF POLICE,
THIRUKOILUR POLICE STATION,
KALLAKURICHI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO162/2022)

For Petitioner : M/S. A.K.SOMASUNDARAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.SUGENDRAN, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under sections 294(b), 323, 324, 355 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No. 162 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 02.05.2022, due to some ALC election enmity, the petitioners had assaulted the de-facto complainant with wooden log and thereby caused injuries and also criminally intimidated him. Hence, the case has been registered against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any such offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that they had been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he pleads for anticipatory bail.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts of the case and that now, the injured has been discharged from the hospital, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Chengalpet on condition that the petitioners shall execute their own bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) each to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-
12/05/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-I,
CHENGALPET.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHENGALPET. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPETOR OF POLICE,
THIRUKOILUR POLICE STATION,
KALLAKURICHI DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S. A.K.SOMASUNDARAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.No.7038

CRL OP.10921/2022

Date :12/05/2022

CSK 16/05/2022