



3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that except this petitioner told that the first accused is a good person, nothing incriminating is found in the First Information Report allegations. Petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and thus he prayed for anticipatory bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) opposed this petition on the ground that investigation is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that nothing incriminating is said against this petitioner in the complaint. It is not clear as to the double meaning words alleged to have spoken by the petitioner. In the facts and circumstances of this case, this Court finds that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tambaram on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/-only (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the Investigating Officer daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

06/05/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.I, TAMBARAM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHENGALPATTU (FOR INFORMATION)

3 INSPECTOR OF POLICE
W-35, ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
TAMBARAM.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.S.ANBALAGAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges
Sr.6859

CRL OP.10614/2022

Date :06/05/2022

RVR 16/05/2022