



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fifth day of May Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G. CHANDRASEKHARAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.10575 of 2022

KESAVAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PROHIBITION ENFORCEMENT WING,
NANNILAM,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.144 OF 2022)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.T.MURUGANANTHAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.N.S.SUGANTHAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 4(1)(aaa) and 4(1) TNP Act in Crime No.144 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent police was on their routine ride, they found that the petitioner was in possession of 110 litres of Pondy Arrack.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner stating that the petitioner is a History Sheeter.

4. Considering the opposition made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

05/05/2022

WEB COPY

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PROHIBITION ENFORCEMENT WING,
NANNILAM,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.T.MURUGANANTHAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.10575/2022

Date :05/05/2022

TA-17/05/2022