



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION)

TUESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF JUNE 2022

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

A.No.2101 of 2022

in

C.S.(Comm. Div) No.3 of 2022

C.S.(Comm. Div) No.3 of 2022:-

(Under order XXXVII of the Code of
Civil Procedure, 1908)

&

Order VII Rule 1 of the Original Side
Rules, 1994)

1.B.K.Divya,
wife of Mr.K.S.Mahadesh,
A 6D, 6th Floor, A Block,
AVM ASTA, Rajamannaar Salai,
K.K.Nagar, Chennai 600 078.

2.K.S.Mahadesh,
Son of Siddarmaiah,
A 6D, 6th Floor, A Block, AVM ASTA,
Rajamannaar Salai, K.K.Nagar,
Chennai 600 078.

... Plaintiffs

Vs.

1.M/s. Meera Financial Consultancy Services

Represented by its Proprietrix

Mrs.Swathi Meera V,

E 7P, 7th Floor, E Block,



AVM ASTA, Rajamannar Salai, K.K.Nagar,
Chennai 600 078.

WEB COPY

2.Swathi Meera V.
W/o.Gurukaleeswaran,
A4L, AVM ASTA, Rajamannaar Salai,
K.K.Nagar, Chennai 600 078.

3.Gurukaleeswaran Balasubramani,
S/o.Balasubramani,
A4L, AVM Asta, Rajamannaar Salai,
K.K.Nagar, Chennai 600 078.

... Defendants

A.No.2101 of 2022:-

1.M/s. Meera Financial Consultancy Services
Represented by its Proprietrix
Mrs.Swathi Meera V,
W/o. Mr.Gurukaleeswaran Balasubramani,
E 7P, 7th Floor, E Block,
AVM ASTA, Rajamannar Salai, K.K.Nagar,
Chennai 600 078.

2.Mrs.Swathi Meera V.
W/o.Gurukaleeswaran,
A4L, AVM ASTA, Rajamannaar Salai,
K.K.Nagar, Chennai 600 078.

3.Gurukaleeswaran Balasubramani,
S/o.Balasubramani, A4L,
AVM Asta, Rajamannaar Salai,
K.K.Nagar, Chennai 600 078.

....Applicants

Vs.

1.B.K.Divya,
wife of Mr.K.S.Mahadesh,
A 6D, 6th Floor, A Block,
AVM ASTA, Rajamannaar Salai,
K.K.Nagar, Chennai 600 078.



2.K.S.Mahadesh,
Son of Siddarmaiah,
A 6D, 6th Floor, A Block, AVM ASTA,
Rajamannaar Salai, K.K.Nagar,
Chennai 600 078.

... Respondents

Application praying that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to condone the delay of 65 days in filing the leave to defend application in the above suit.

This Application coming on this day before this court for hearing, the court made the following order:-

This application is filed to condone the delay of 65 days in filing the leave to defend application in the above suit.

2. The plaintiffs do not seriously oppose this application.

3. Upon examining the affidavit in support of the application and being satisfied that sufficient cause has been made out for the delay, this application is allowed.

4. List the leave to defend application and O.A.No.209 of 2022 for



undertakes that no further action would be taken to bring the properties listed in the schedule to the said application for sale pending consideration of the application. The said undertaking is recorded.

Sd./-SKRJ

07/06/2022

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2022.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)

From 25th Day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

EVK 08/06/2022