



C.S.No.459 of 2016

C.S.No.459 of 2016
and A.No.3609 of 2016

WEB CO **SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY, J.**

The matter is listed today upon "being mentioned" by learned counsel for the plaintiff. Learned counsel for defendants 1 to 3 is also present. Learned counsel for the plaintiff points out that there is a clerical error in one sentence of paragraph 34 of the judgment. He points out that the conversion should be at the exchange rate prevailing on the date of judgment and not date of institution of the suit.

2. On examining the judgment and on considering the submissions of learned counsel for the plaintiff, it is evident that the conversion should be at the exchange rate prevailing on the date of judgment and not the date of institution of the suit. Since this is a clerical error, it calls for correction.

3. Accordingly, the Registry is directed to re-issue the judgment after substituting the sentence dealing with conversion of foreign exchange in paragraph 34 of the judgment in the manner set out below:



C.S.No.459 of 2016

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY, J.

WEB COPY

rna

Sentence to be replaced in paragraph 34:

"If conversion of currency is required at any stage in relation to amounts awarded under this judgment, such conversion shall be at the exchange rate prevailing on the date of institution of the suit".

Correct / substituted sentence in paragraph 34:

"If conversion of currency is required at any stage in relation to amounts awarded under this judgment, such conversion shall be at the exchange rate prevailing on the date of judgment".

27.09.2022

rna

C.S.No.459 of 2016
and A.No.3609 of 2016