



WEB COPY



Tr.C.M.P.No.442 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06.12.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

Tr.C.M.P.No.442 of 2022
and CMP No.8096 of 2022

Chitra

... Petitioner

Vs.

P.Gnana Alan

...Respondent

PRAYER: Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 24 of CPC, to withdraw the S.M.O.P.No.191 of 2019 from the file of the Ist Additional District Court, Tirunelveli and transfer the same to the Family Court, Coimbatore.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Udhayasuriyan

For Respondent : No Appearance

ORDER

This transfer petition is filed to withdraw the S.M.O.P.No.191 of 2019 from the file of the 1st Additional District Court, Tirunelveli to the Family Court, Coimbatore.



Tr.C.M.P.No.442 of 2022

2.The respondent who is the husband of the writ petitioner filed SMOP for dissolution of marriage, which is now pending before the Ist Additional District Court at Tirunelveli

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner mainly contended that the petitioner is unemployed and she is now residing with her parents and she has to maintain five years old minor son and not in a position to contest the divorce case filed by the respondent before the Court at Tirunelveli. The petitioner has to travel long from Coimbatore to Tirunelveli and further, she is depending on her parents and for all these reasons, the present petition is filed to transfer the case.

4.In this regard, the Courts have already considered the issues as follows:

3. The principles regarding transfer petitions, more specifically in the matters of matrimonial cases are well settled through the decisions 3 of the High Court of Madras, in the following cases:

(i) The Hon-ble Division Bench of the High Court of Madras in W.A.No.1181 of 2009, dated 09.07.2010 has held as follows:-



21. *The domicile or citizenship of the opposite party is immaterial in a case like this. In case the marriage was solemnized under Hindu Law marital relationship is governed by the provisions of the Hindu Marriage Act. Therefore, Section 19 has to be given a purposeful interpretation. It is the residence of the wife, which determines the question of jurisdiction, in case the proceeding was initiated at the instance of the wife.*

22. *While considering a provision like Section 19 (iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, the objects and reasons which prompted the parliament to incorporate such a provision has also to be taken note of. Sub Clause (iii-a) was inserted in Section 19 with a specific purpose. Experience is the best teacher. The Government found the difficulties faced by women in the matter of initiation of matrimonial proceedings. The report submitted by the Law Commission as well as National Commission for Women, underlying the need for such amendment so as to enable the women to approach the nearest jurisdictional court to redress their matrimonial grievances, were also taken note of by the Government. Therefore such a beneficial provision meant for the women of our Country should be given a meaningful interpretation by Courts.--*



WEB COPY

(ii) In yet another case in TR.CMP.Nos.138 and 139 of 2006, dated 30.08.2006, the High Court of Madras has considered the following judgments:-

“16.In AIR 2000 SC 3512 (1) (Mona Aresh Goel vs. Aresh Satya Goel), when the wife pleaded that she was unable to bear the traveling expenses and even to travel alone and stay at Bombay, the Supreme Court ordered transfer of proceedings.

In 2000 (10) SCC 304, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that where the petitioner-s wife has pleaded lack of money, the same has to be considered.

In 2000 (9) SCC 355, the wife has filed a petition to transfer the proceedings initiated by the husband for divorce, at Bombay. The place of residence of the wife was at Jaipur, Rajasthan. In that case, the petitioner is having a small child and that she pleaded difficulty in going all the way from Jaipur to Bombay to contest the proceedings from time to time. Considering the distance and the difficulties faced by the wife, the Supreme Court has allowed the transfer petition.



In a decision reported in 2005 (12) SCC 395, the wife has sought for transfer of matrimonial proceedings and a divorce petition has been filed by the respondent-s husband at Baikunthpur to be transferred to Allahabad, where the petitioner-s wife was residing, on the ground that it would be difficult for her to undertake such long distance journey, particularly in circumstances, in which she finds that the proceedings under 5 Section 125 Cr.P.C. was already pending before the Family Court, Allahabad. Considering the difficulties faced by the wife and also the long distance journey, the Honourable Supreme Court was pleased to order transfer of the proceedings to Allahabad.

(iii) In a decision made in TR.CMP(MD)No.108 of 2010, dated 03.03.2011, the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, has observed as below:-

“18.It is true that section 19 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has been amended by insertion of proviso of (iii)(a) to section 19. Of Course, this amended section 19(iii)(a) gives special preference to the wife to file a petition or defending the case of the husband before the Court within whose jurisdiction she resides. The intention of the legislator is to safe-guard the interest and rights of the



Tr.C.M.P.No.442 of 2022

women, who are being subjected to harassment and cruelty. But this special preference conferred under section 19(iii)(a) of the Hindu Marriage Act shall not be used to wreck vengeance on the husband. There must be a justifiable cause to select the jurisdiction of the Court where she resides.”

5.Considering the facts and circumstances and considering the plight of the petitioner wife, this Court is inclined to consider the transfer petition and accordingly, SMOP No.191 of 2019 pending on the file of the Ist Additional District Court, Tirunelveli stands transferred to the Family Court at Coimbatore. The Ist Additional District Court, Tirunelveli is directed to transmit the case papers to the Family Court, Coimbatore, within a period of four weeks from the date receipt of a copy of this order.

6.Accordingly, this transfer petition stands allowed. No Costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

06.12.2022

Index : Yes
Internet : Yes
Speaking order : Yes
SSR



WEB COPY



Tr.C.M.P.No.442 of 2022



Tr.C.M.P.No.442 of 2022

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Ist Additional District Court, Tirunelveli.
- 2.The Family Court, Coimbatore.



WEB COPY



Tr.C.M.P.No.442 of 2022

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM., J

SSR

Tr.C.M.P.No.442 of 2022
and CMP No.8096 of 2022

06.12.2022