



WEB COPY

W.P.No.12823 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

W.P.No.12823 of 2022

Manonmani Agencies,
Represented by its Proprietor,
S.Manonmani,
(MES Enlistment No.E-72)
No.45, Kalaingar Nagar,
Kovilpadagai, Avadi,
Chennai – 600 062

... Petitioner

VS.

1.Union of India,
Represented by its Secretary (DP),
Ministry of Defence,
No.101-A, South Block,
New Delhi – 110001

2.Chairman and Managing Director,
AVNL,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.

3.The General Manager,
Heavy Vehicle Factory, Avadi,
Chennai – 600 054.

4.Works Manager,
Y&E Department,
HVF Avadi, Chennai

...Respondents



WEB COPY

Prayer: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 25.01.2022 and pass a speaking order with a period fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Rajesh Kumar

For Respondent 1 : Mr.N.Ramesh,
Senior Panel Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for a mandamus seeking for a direction to the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 25.01.2022 within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that they have been participating in the tenders called for by the second respondent regularly. According to them, all the tenders have been awarded only to M/s.Sri Sai Sastha Protection Agency (SSSP Agency) which according to the petitioner, amounts to bias. The petitioner has given representation on 25.01.2022 to the respondents calling upon them to give reasons as to why M/s.SSSP



Agencies alone has been awarded contracts. Since the representation has not been considered till date, the petitioner has filed this writ petition.

WEB COPY

3. Heard Mr.R.Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.N.Ramesh, learned standing counsel for the first respondent.

4. The relief sought for by the petitioner in this writ petition is an innocuous one. No prejudice would be caused to the respondents, if the petitioner's representation as stated supra is considered on merits and in accordance with law within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

5. Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the first respondent has also not raised any serious objection for this Court to issue direction to the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation.

6. For the foregoing reasons, this Court directs the first respondent to pass final orders on the petitioner's representation dated 25.01.2022 referred to supra within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Order.



7. With the aforesaid direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No

costs.

12.07.2022

nl

Index:Yes/No

Internet:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking orders



WEB COPY

To

- 1.Union of India,
Represented by its Secretary (DP),
Ministry of Defence,
No.101-A, South Block,
New Delhi – 110001
- 2.Chairman and Managing Director,
AVNL,
Avadi, Chennai – 600 054.
- 3.The General Manager,
Heavy Vehicle Factory, Avadi,
Chennai – 600 054.
- 4.Works Manager,
Y&E Department,
HVF Avadi, Chennai



WEB COPY

W.P.No.12823 of 2



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

nl

W.P.No.12823 of 2022

12.07.2022