



H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.10.2022

Coram

The Honourable Mr. Justice **P.N.PRAKASH**
and
The Honourable Mr. Justice **RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN**

H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

V.Renuka

.. Petitioner

Vs

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tiruvarur District, Tiruvarur.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Mannarkudi Taluk Police Station,
Mannarkudi.
- 4.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruvarur District.
- 5.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli.

.. Respondents



H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to

issue a writ of Habeas Corpus call for the records in Connection with the order of detention passed by the second respondent dated 30.03.2022 in C.O.C.No.04/2022 against the petitioner's Husband Venugopal, son of Vaira Sundaram, aged about 54 years, who is confined at the Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Parthiban

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Addl. Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Mady by *P.N.PRAKASH, J.*)

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu Venugopal, son of Vaira Sundaram, aged about 54 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in C.O.C.No.04/2022 dated 30.03.2022, holding him to be a "Sand Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(gg) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made on behalf of the detenu was not considered in time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition by filing his counter. He would submit that though there was delay in considering the representation, on that score alone, the impugned detention order cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and thus, there is no violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India.



H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 30.03.2022. A representation was made on behalf of the detenu on 15.04.2022. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 25.04.2022. The remarks were duly received on 13.05.2022. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the representation on 24.05.2022.

6. It is the contention of the petitioner that there was a delay of 18 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority, of which, 5 days were Government Holidays and hence there was an inordinate delay of 13 days in submitting the remarks. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the remarks were received on 13.05.2022 and there was a delay of 11 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which, 4 days were Government Holidays, hence, there was an inordinate delay of 7 days in considering the representation.



H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

7. In **Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu (2011 (5) SCC 244)**,

the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In **Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government (2007 (2)**

MWN (Cr.) 145), a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In **Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others**,

reported in **1980 (2) SCC 321**, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 13 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 7 days in considering



H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, Prohibition and Excise Department. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in C.O.C.No.04/2022 dated 30.03.2022, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz. Venugopal, son of Vaira Sundaram, aged about 54 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(P.N.P., J.) (TKRJ)
17.10.2022

Index: Yes/No
nsd



H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tiruvarur District, Tiruvarur.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Mannarkudi Taluk Police Station,
Mannarkudi.
- 4.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruvarur District.
- 5.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli.
- 6.The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 9.
- 7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

P.N.PRAKASH, J.
and
RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

nsd

H.C.P.No.725 of 2022

17.10.2022