



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.06.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

Tr.C.M.P.No.432 of 2022
and
C.M.P.No.7969 of 2022

G.Kavitha ... Petitioner/Respondent

Vs.

J.Ebinezar ... Respondent/Petitioner

Prayer:- Petition has been filed under Section 24 of C.P.C., to withdraw F.C.I.D.O.P.No.89 of 2022 from the file of the Family Court, Chengalpet and transfer the same to the file of Family Court, Tiruppur.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Sudhakar

For Respondent : Ms.P.C.Geethammal

O R D E R

This petition has been preferred to withdraw F.C.I.D.O.P.No.89 of 2022 pending on the file of the Family Court, Chengalpet and transfer the same to the file of the Family Court, Tiruppur.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent and also perused the materials available on record.

3.The petitioner is the wife and respondent is the husband. The marriage between the petitioner and respondent was solemnized on 13.09.2007 as per Christian rites and customs. Since the relationship between the couple went bitter, the Respondent/Husband filed F.C.I.D.O.P.No.89 of 2022 on the file of the Family Court, Chengalpet, against the petitioner, seeking divorce. Now, the petitioner herein who is the wife, has preferred the present petition to withdraw F.C.I.D.O.P.No.89 of 2022 pending on the file of the Family Court, Chengalpet and transfer the same to the file of Family Court, Tiruppur.

4. The petitioner has stated that she is staying with her



father along with her two children and it is very difficult for her to travel from Tiruppur to Chengalpet for attending the Court proceedings at Chengalpet.

5. It is needless to state that in matrimonial proceedings, preference should be given to the convenience of the wife. The said position has been settled in various Judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and more particularly, the Judgments reported in 2008 (9) SCC 353 [Arti Rani @ Pinki Devi and another Vs. Dharmendra Kumar Gupta] and AIR 2002 SC 396 [Sumita Singh Vs. Kumar Sanjay and another]. In fact as per the amended Section 19(iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the wife is guarded with the right to file proceedings in the place where she resides. The above amendment was brought with the object of facilitating the wife to participate in the matrimonial proceedings without any hardship. In view of the above reasons, I feel that the prayer of the petitioner should be considered favourably.

6. Accordingly, the Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is allowed. The petition in F.C.I.D.O.P.No.89 of 2022 filed by the Respondent is ordered to be withdrawn from the file of the Family Court, Chengalpet and transferred to the file of the Family Court, Tiruppur. The learned Judge, Family Court, Chengalpet is directed to transmit all the records pertaining to F.C.I.D.O.P.No.89 of 2022 to the file of the Family Court, Tiruppur, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ms

To

1. The Judge,
Family Court, Chengalpet.
2. The Judge,
Family Court, Tiruppur.

+1cc to M/s.K.Sudhakar, Advocate, S.R.No.37752

Tr.C.M.P.No.432 of 2022
and C.M.P.No.7969 of 2022

SSN(CO)
UMA(08/07/2022)