



Tr.C.M.P.No.379 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.07.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

Tr.C.M.P. No.379 of 2022
and C.M.P.No.7298 of 2022

S.Sathiyapriya

... Petitioner

..Vs..

P.Narendran

... Respondent

Prayer:- Petition is filed under Section 24 of C.P.C., to withdraw H.M.O.P.No.138 of 2022 pending on the file of the Sub Court, Thiruvottriyur and transfer the same to the file of the Family Court, Villupuram to be tried along with H.M.O.P.No.45 of 2022.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Prakashadiapadam

For Respondent : No appearance

ORDER

This petition is filed to withdraw H.M.O.P.No.138 of 2022 pending on the file of the Sub Court, Thiruvottriyur and transfer the same



Tr.C.M.P.No.379 of 2022

WEB COPY

to the file of the Family Court, Villupuram to be tried along with
H.M.O.P.No.45 of 2022.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the materials available on record. The respondent, though served, has not entered appearance either in person or through counsel.

3.The petitioner is the wife and respondent is the husband. The marriage between the petitioner and respondent was solemnized on 12.02.2020 as per Hindu rites and customs. Since, the relationship between the couples went bitter, the Respondent/Husband filed H.M.O.P.No.138 of 2022 on the file of the Sub Court, Thiruvottriyur against the petitioner seeking divorce. Now, the petitioner herein who is the wife has preferred the present petition to withdraw H.M.O.P.No.138 of 2022 pending on the file of the Sub Court, Thiruvottriyur and transfer the same to the file of the learned Judge, Family Court, Villupuram to be tried along with H.M.O.P.No.45 of 2022.



Tr.C.M.P.No.379 of 2022

4. The petitioner has stated that she is staying lonely in Villupuram and it is very difficult for her to travel lonely from Villupuram to Chennai for attending the Court proceedings at Chennai.

5. It is needless to state that in matrimonial proceedings, preference should be given to the convenience of the wife. The said position has been settled in various Judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and more particularly in the Judgments reported in **2008 (9) SCC 353 [Arti Rani @ Pinki Devi and another Vs. Dharmendra Kumar Gupta]** and **AIR 2002 SC 396 [Sumita Singh Vs. Kumar Sanjay and another]**. Infact as per the amended Section 19(iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the wife is guarded with the right to file proceedings in the place where she resides. The above amendment was brought with the object of facilitating the wife to participate in the matrimonial proceedings without any hardship. In view of the above reasons, I feel that the prayer of the petitioner should be considered favourably.



Tr.C.M.P.No.379 of 2022

6. Accordingly, the Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is allowed.

The petition in H.M.O.P.No.138 of 2022 filed by the respondent is ordered to be withdrawn from the file of the Sub Court, Thiruvottriyur and transferred to the file of the Family Court, Villupuram to be tried along with H.M.O.P.No.45 of 2022. The learned Judge, Sub Court, Thiruvottriyur, is directed to transmit all the records pertaining to H.M.O.P.No.138 of 2022 to the file of the Family Court, Villupuram, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

04.07.2022

vkx

Index: Yes/No

Speaking Order: Yes/No

To

1. The Judge, Family Court, Villupuram.

2. The Judge, Sub Court, Thiruvottriyur.

4/5



WEB COPY



Tr.C.M.P.No.379 of 2022

R.N.MANJULA,J.

vkf

Tr.C.M.P. No.379 of 2022
and C.M.P.No.7298 of 2022

04.07.2022