



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Fourteenth day of June Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.13638 of 2022

R.VIJAYARAJA

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY ITS,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
K-1 NEYVELI TOWNSHIP POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT
CRIME NO.1266 OF 2021

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.A.ARASU GANESHAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.DAMODARAN, Additional public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b), 323, 324, 354, 307 and 506 (2) of IPC in Crime No.1266 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with iron ninja on his head and the other accused attacked him on the other parts of his body. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that already the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court on 01.03.2022. However, the petitioner was unable to execute the sureties within the time stipulated by this Court. Therefore, the petitioner has again filed the present petition seeking for anticipatory bail.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned "Judicial Magistrate, Neyveli", on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
14/06/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NEYVELI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CUDDALORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
K-1 NEYVELI TOWNSHIP POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S.A.ARASU GANESHAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.9012

CRL OP.13638/2022

Date :14/06/2022

JPA 20/06/2022