



WEB COPY

CMP.No.6781/2022 in SA.No.18



S.S.SUNDAR, J.,

The above miscellaneous petition is filed to transpose the respondents 2 and 3 as appellants in the Second Appeal.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.C.Jagadish, learned counsel for the 1st respondent/contesting respondent.

3.It is stated that the appellant in the Second Appeal, namely, Mariyappan is the father of the petitioners who are the respondents 2 and 3 in the appeal. The suit is for specific performance and the appellant and respondents 2 and 3 in the appeal have a common cause of action.

4.In view of the fact that the appellant Mariyappan is no more, this Court is of the view that ordering the petition filed by the petitioners/respondents 2 and 3 in the appeal, to transpose them as appellants would be appropriate.

5.Hence, this Civil Miscellaneous Petition is **ordered and respondents 2 and 3 in the Second Appeal are transposed as appellants in the above Appeal.**

19.04.2022

AP

Internet: Yes