



diamond worth Rs.2.5 Lakhs. In this said circumstances, when the Investigation Officer has summoned the petitioner for her appearance, she has not appeared before the Investigating Officer, but now had filed the present petition seeking anticipatory bail.

Dr.G.Jayachandran, J.

3. The Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that, she is the resident of Mumbai and therefore, she was not able to respond to the summons. But, she is ready to cooperate with the investigation. However, Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent/state submit that, the conduct of the petitioner herein does not inspire confidence that, she will cooperate with the investigation. Furthermore, the gravity of crime requires custodial interrogation by the Investigating Officer. Since the real substitution of the precious stone has been done only after the jewels been given to the custody of the present petitioner. Considering the serious objections by the Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and merits in this submission, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
22/04/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CBCID, METRO WING-II, EGMORE,
CHENNAI 600008.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.S.RAVINDRANATH Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.9255/2022

Date :22/04/2022

JPA 02/05/2022