



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Sixth day of April Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Dr Justice G. JAYACHANDRAN
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.9539 of 2022

1 V.MARAN
2 M.RATHIKA

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KRISHNAPURAM POLICE STATION,
DHARMAPURI.
FIR.NO.100/2021

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.C. PALANISAMY Advocate

For Respondent : MR. S.SANTHOSH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 294(B), 324 and 506(II) of IPC in Crime No.100 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The petitioners herein granted anticipatory bail by this Court on 23.12.2021 on condition that 1st petitioner should report before the respondent police every Wednesday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation and the 2nd petitioner being lady is directed to appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation also they were directed to execute bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Magistrate.

3. The petitioners have not complied the condition that they neither executed the bond and sureties nor reported before the Investigation Officer weekly once and co-operate with the investigation, this has allowed to file absconding final report by the respondent police. While so, the present anticipatory bail petition is filed stating that the petitioners were unable to execute



a bond and report before the Investigation Officer and participate in the investigation due to health issues and difficulty in arranging surety.

4. This Court finds that the condition imposed for enjoying liberty is not an honours condition further they have failed to comply the condition and co-operate for the investigation. Having failed to comply the anticipatory bail condition, no sympathy can be shown to the petitioners who have grossly violated the anticipatory bail condition. Hence, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition.

Accordingly, this Criminal Original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

26/04/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KRISHNAPURAM POLICE STATION,
DHARMAPURI.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.C. PALANISAMY Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.9539/2022

Date :26/04/2022

RVR 05/05/2022