



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would state that A1, who is the husband of the defacto complainant, was already arrested and released on bail and these petitioners are only in-laws of the defacto complainant and they have no role in the alleged crime.

4. However, the First Information Report indicates that they have actively participated in harassing the defacto complainant and their abscondence now hampered the investigation.

5. Considering the allegations against these petitioners and overt act, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to these petitioners. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

30/03/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL INDIA WOMEN POLICE STATION,
NANNILAM, THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S. S.MOHAMEDUDUMAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.No.4904

CRL OP.7275/2022

Date :30/03/2022

CSK 04/04/2022