



3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that these petitioners were not in the scene of crime and they are not instrumental for the murder of the deceased and only on suspicion, they were arrested on 28.01.2022. Since the investigation is almost completed and the petitioners are in prison for more than 60 days, these petitioners seek bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the murder was committed by hiring the killers to take vengeance against the deceased, who has started rival business and the threat was already intimated by the deceased to his mother and the attack was witnessed by the defacto complainant and the public. Further, one of the accused who has provided information about the movement of the deceased and the owner of the two wheeler, in which one of the assailant fled from the scene of occurrence after committing the crime is yet to be secured. Hence, she opposed granting of bail to the petitioners herein.

5. Considering the objection made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the nature of the offence and the stage of the investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to these petitioners since the release of the petitioners will lead to distorting the evidence. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition for bail is dismissed.

-sd/-
30/03/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried
into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANNUR POLICE STATION,
COIMBATORE DISTRICT.

2 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, COIMBATORE.



3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S C.RAMKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.No.4884

CRL OP.7261/2022

Date :30/03/2022

CSK 31/03/2022