



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.03.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.GOVINDARAJ

W.P.NOS.29760 & 28254 OF 2013

AND

M.P.NOS.1 & 2 OF 2013

W.P.NO.29760 OF 2013

T.Rajendran

... Petitioner

.Vs.

1. The Inspector General of Registration,  
Santhome,  
Chennai - 600 028.

2. The District Registrar,  
Cuddalore.

3. The Sub-Registrar,  
Marakanam, Tindivanam Taluk,  
Villupuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER:-

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a writ of Certiorari, to call for the records of the order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in No.3228/Vu/2013 dated 21.10.2013 and the consequential order passed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in No.213/2013 dated 29.10.2013, suspending the Licence No.A.795/A/91 issued to the petitioner as Document writer for a period of six months and quash the same.

W.P.NO.28254 OF 2013

V.Gnanasekaran

... Petitioners

.Vs.

1. The Inspector General of Registration,  
Santhome, Chennai - 600 028.



2. The District Registrar,  
Tindivanam.

3. The Sub-Registrar,  
Marakanam, Tindivanam Taluk,  
Villupuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER:-

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a writ of Certiorari, to call for the records of the order passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in Na.Ka.No.3585/B2/2013 dated 30.09.2013 and the consequential order passed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in Na.Ka.No.210/2013 dated 01.10.2013, suspending the licence in Licence No.C 40/TVM issued to the petitioner as Document Writer for a period of three months and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Prabaharan  
in both W.P's

For Respondents : Mr.R.P.Murugan Raja  
in both W.P's Government Advocate

COMMON ORDER

The petitioners are challenging the impugned orders passed by the second respondent that the temporary suspension of their state licence as Document Writer.

2. The impugned orders dated 21.10.2013 and 30.09.2013 respectively and consequential orders passed by the third respondent dated 29.10.2013 and 01.10.2013 respectively.

3. By this time, the suspension period of six months and three months respectively has lapsed.

4. I find there is nothing survives for further adjudication in these writ petitions.

5. In view of the above, these writ petitions are dismissed as infructuous. However, a liberty is granted to the petitioners to approach the respondents if they have any further grievances.



No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

WEB COPY

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ssi/dua

To

1. The Inspector General of Registration,  
Santhome, Chennai - 600 028.
2. The District Registrar,  
Cuddalore.
3. The Sub-Registrar,  
Marakanam, Tindivanam Taluk,  
Villupuram District.
4. The District Registrar,  
Tindivanam.

+2ccs to Mr.M.Prabaharan, Advocate, S.R.Nos.13683 & 13684  
+1cc to the Government Pleader, S.R.Nos.14647 & 14648

W.P.NOS.29760 & 28254 OF 2013

SSV(CO)  
PBS/18/03/2022