



Contempt Petition No.869 of 2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01.07.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

Contempt Petition No.869 of 2016

- 1.L.Rajasekaran
- 2.S.Raghu
- 3.J.Chandra Kumar
- 4.M.P.Rajesh
- 5.M.Manimurali
- 6.K.Subramani
- 7.A.Domnic Xavier
- 8.S.Kalyanasundaram
- 9.K.Sevaraj
- 10.S.T.Govindaraj
- 11.D.David
- 12.V.Ramesh
- 13.P.Wilfred Prabakaran
- 14.C.M.Kaliappan
- 15.V.Chandra
- 16.S.Nagaraj
- 17.A.Pushparaj



Contempt Petition No.869 of 2016

18.U.Krishnamoorthy

19.N.Rajagopal

20.R.Elangovan

21.L.Parasuraman

22.A.Jothi Lingam

23.R.K.Jayaraman

24.A.Mubarak

25.J.Christopher Jesudass

26.M.Jesudass

27.L.Krishnan

28.Savitha

...Petitioners

Vs.

S.Girish Kumar,
Chairman - cum - Managing Director,
Management of Hindustan Photo Films
Manufacturing Company Limited,
Indu Nagar, Ooty - 643 005.

...Respondent

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, to punish the respondent for willful disobedience of the order passed by this Court made in W.P.No.30459 of 2015 dated 28.09.2015.

For Petitioner : Ms.N.R.Jasmine Padma

For Respondents : Mrs.Rita Chandrasekaran
for M/s.Aiyar & Dolia



ORDER

WEB COPY

The Contempt petition has been filed to punish the respondent for their willful disobedience of the order passed by this Court on 28.09.2015 in W.P.No.30459 of 2015.

2. This Court passed the above order directing the respondents to consider the representation submitted by the writ petitioner and pass orders within a period of eight weeks.

3. The grievance of the petitioners was that the terminal benefits due to them were not settled. The learned counsel for the petitioners made a submission that the terminal benefits are settled partially. However, this Court cannot adjudicate the issues on merits in the contempt proceedings. This Court directed the respondents to consider the representation which was considered and some amount of terminal benefits were already settled. Thus, if any other grievance is left over for adjudication, it is open to the petitioner to adjudicate the matter in a manner known to law.

4. With this liberty, the Contempt petition stands closed.

01.07.2022

Index : Yes/No
Speaking Order : Yes/No
mrm



WEB COPY



Contempt Petition No.869 of 2016

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM,J.

mrm

Contempt Petition No.869 of 2016

01.07.2022