



3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has filed a counter, wherein she narrated the actual background has stated above and further stated that the investigation is not yet completed and lab report is yet to be received. She also pointed out that, on seeing the riding party, the petitioner herein ran away from the scene of crime. She further submitted that the petitioner has involved in subsequent case of similar nature, if he released on bail there is every possibility of recurring the offence. Hence, she opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. On considering the objection made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, in the light of the quantity of the contraband recovered from this petitioner and his conduct of involvement in similar offence, while he was in abscondance, this court is of the view that grant of bail at this juncture is not conducive for smooth investigation and trial.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

11/03/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PUZHAI, CHENNAI.

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
F2-SIPCOT POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR DISTRICT.

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.R.SASIKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.5078/2022

Date :11/03/2022

RW 22/03/2022