



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01.03.2022

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.4652 of 2022

A.Gnanaraj
S/o.Ayyakkannu

... Petitioner

Vs

1.State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
W8 All Women Police Station,
Thirumangalam, Chennai - 101.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai, Egmore,
Chennai - 8.

3.G.Jyothi
W/o.A.Gnanaraj

... Respondents

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., to direct the respondents police for not harassing the petitioner on the basis of the complaint given by the defacto complainant.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Perumal

For Respondents :
For R1 & R2 : Mr.V.Meghanathan
Government Advocate
(criminal side)

For R3 : No appearance



ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed, to direct the respondents police for not harassing the petitioner on the basis of the complaint given by the defacto complainant.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the first respondent harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry and thereby he seeks direction to the first respondent not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry.

3. Mr.V.Meghanathan, learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the first and second respondents would submit that on the complaint given by one G.Jyothi against the petitioner in C.S.R.No.489 of 2021, enquiry is pending on the file of the first respondent. He would further submit that the respondents police are not harassing the petitioner.

4. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondents police have been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non-cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him/her to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and



seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

WEB COPY

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:-

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The respondents police are directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant.

c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

e) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)] shall be strictly adhered to.

(f) In the event of any cognizable offences made out, the respondents shall register a regular case and follow the procedure in accordance with law.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-IX)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

shk/arb

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
W8 All Women Police Station,
Thirumangalam, Chennai - 101.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai, Egmore,
Chennai - 8.



3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

WEB COPY

MT (CO)
CB (24/03/2022)

Cr1.O.P.No.4652 of 2022