



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty First day of February Two Thousand Twenty Two
PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr Justice R. PONGIAPPAN
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.4141 of 2022

E.ABANASAR [PETITIONER / ACCUSED]
(AS PER F.I.R. WRONGLY MENTIONED EBINESAR)

Vs

STATE REP BY [RESPONDENT]
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANGALAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.52 OF 2022)

For Petitioner : M/S.S.VINOTH KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR. S.SANTHOSH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 4(1)(a), 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, 1937 in Crime No.52 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent police conducted search, they found that the petitioner and other accused were selling the liquor illegally and the respondent Police recovered 50 brandy bottles. Hence the complaint was registered by the respondent police.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent lady and she has not committed any such offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner been falsely implicated in this case and she is no way connected with the alleged occurrence. Hence, he pleads for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police raised objection stating that investigation is pending. He also submits that the properties which are involved during the alleged occurrence have been recovered and they are in



custody of the respondent police and no previous case as against the petitioner.

5. Submissions made by the learned Counsels appearing on either sides are considered.

6. The respondent police registered a case against the petitioner for the offence punishable under Sections 4(1)(a), 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act. Admittedly, the petitioner is the first offender and the properties which are required for completing the investigation have already been recovered by the respondent police.

7. Taking note of all the above said aspects into consideration and having regard to the nature of the offence committed by the petitioner, this Court came to the conclusion that for the completion of investigation, custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary in this case. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tiruppur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., for a period of fifteen (15) days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-

21/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.IV,TIRUPPUR

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUPPUR (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANGALAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.S.VINOTH KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.4141/2022

Date :21/02/2022

RVR 24/02/2022