



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.06.2022

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

Tr.C.M.P. No.256 of 2022
and
C.M.P.No.5086 of 2022

J.Sripriya

... Petitioner

..Vs..

A.Sadeesh Kumar

... Respondent

Prayer:- Petition is filed under Section 24 of C.P.C., to withdraw H.M.O.P.No.2 of 2022 pending on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Mettupalayam and transfer the same to the Judge, Family Court, Chennai.

For Petitioner : M/s.John Richard Ebenezer


For Respondent : No appearance

O R D E R

This petition is filed to withdraw H.M.O.P.No.2 of 2022 pending on the file of the Sub Court, Mettupalayam and transfer the same to the Family Court, Chennai.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the materials available on record. The respondent, though served, has not entered appearance either in person or through counsel.

3.The petitioner is the wife and respondent is the husband. The marriage between the petitioner and respondent was solemnized on 16.09.2015 as per Hindu rites and customs. Since, the relationship between the couples went bitter, the Respondent/Husband filed H.M.O.P.No.2 of 2022 on the file of the Sub Court, Mettupalayam, against the petitioner seeking divorce. Now, the petitioner herein who is the wife has preferred the present petition to withdraw H.M.O.P.No.2 of 2022 on the file of the Sub Court, Mettupalayam and transfer the same to the file of the learned Judge, Family Court, Chennai.



4. The petitioner has stated that she is staying with her aged mother and it is very difficult for her to travel from Chennai to Mettupalayam for attending the Court proceedings at Mettupalayam.

WEB COPY

5. It is needless to state that in matrimonial proceedings, preference should be given to the convenience of the wife. The said position has been settled in various Judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and more particularly in the Judgments reported in 2008 (9) SCC 353 [Arti Rani @ Pinki Devi and another Vs. Dharmendra Kumar Gupta] and AIR 2002 SC 396 [Sumita Singh Vs. Kumar Sanjay and another]. Infact as per the amended Section 19(iii-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the wife is guarded with the right to file proceedings in the place where she resides. The above amendment was brought with the object of facilitating the wife to participate in the matrimonial proceedings without any hardship. In view of the above reasons, I feel that the prayer of the petitioner should be considered favourably.

6. Accordingly, the Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is allowed. The petition in H.M.O.P.No.2 of 2022 filed by the Respondent is ordered to be withdrawn from the file of Sub Court, Mettupalayam and transferred to the file of the Family Court, Chennai. The learned Judge, Sub Court, Mettupalayam, is directed to transmit all the records pertaining to H.M.O.P.No.2 of 2022 to the file of the Family Court, Chennai, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

vkr

To

1. The Subordinate Judge,
Mettupalayam.

2. The Judge,
Family Court, Chennai.

Tr.C.M.P. No.256 of 2022
and C.M.P.No.5086 of 2022

AJS[col]
NSK/01/07/2022