



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixteenth day of February Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice R. PONGIAPPAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.3733 of 2022

KARMEGAM @ KARMEGAMANIVEL

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDUMALPET POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.92 OF 2022.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.N.ANAND Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.SANTHOSH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.92 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant was standing in front of a store, at that time the petitioner and another person asked the defacto complainant with regard to budget in buying a car. An argument ensued between the two and so the petitioner summoned his friends and attacked the defacto complainant by using cricket stump. After that, the petitioner and his friends escaped from that place. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the person who sustained injuries during the alleged occurrence has been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays for Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner.



4. Mr.S.Santhosh, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the person who sustained injuries during the alleged occurrence has been discharged from the hospital. He further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and also submitted that the investigation is almost completed. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The submissions made by the learned counsel on either side are considered.

6. The respondent police registered a case against the petitioner for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC and as of now, the person who sustained injury, after completing the treatment, discharged from the hospital. Therefore, considering the fact that the offence committed by the petitioner are not severe and that the injured person had been discharged from the hospital, custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary for completing investigation in this case. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.1, Udumalaipettai** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 15 days and thereafter, as and when required for investigation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance



with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

WEB COPY

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

16/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.1, UDUMALAIPETTAI, TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUPPUR. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDUMALPET POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.N.ANAND Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.2401

CRL OP.3733/2022

Date :16/02/2022

TA-21/02/2022