




4. The learned Additional Public Prosecution appearing for the respondent police raised objection stating that investigation is pending. She would further submit that the petitioner is having one previous case for the offence punishable under Section 302 of IPC. Further, previously, the petitioner was detained under Goondas Act and recently, the same was revoked. According to her, the other accused, who are all involved in this case, are still absconding and therefore, if this petitioner is released on bail, he may try to tamper the witness and hamper the investigation.

5. Considered the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side. Though the injury sustained by the injured is simple in nature, considering the fact that the petitioner is a habitual offender, if this type of petitioner is released on bail, he may try to tamper the witness and hamper the investigation. Furthermore, in this case, the other accused, who are all involved, are not secured and the same is also one of the reasons that the bail could not be granted at this time. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
16/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MANGADU POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

2 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PUZHAL.

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. G.BALAMANIKANDAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.3719/2022

Date :16/02/2022

JPA 23/02/2022