




4. The learned Additional Public Prosecution appearing for the respondent police raised objection stating that investigation is pending. She would further submit that during the relevant point of time, the petitioner being A-7 instigated A-1 and both prepared false Power of Attorney for selling the petition mentioned property.

5. Considered the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side. The respondent police registered a case against the petitioner for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 34 of IPC. The allegation raised against the petitioner is that, during the time of occurrence, he created the bogus Power of Attorney in respect of the land belongs to the *de facto* complainant. Now, investigation is pending, without seeing the result of investigation, the active role played by the petitioner, cannot be ascertained. Here, it is a case, after fabricating the false Power of Attorney, the same was registered before the SRO office. Though, the investigation was not completed, the role played by the petitioner would go to show that he was actively participated and hence, the present FIR has been registered. Therefore, I am of the opinion that if this type of petitioner is released on bail, he may try to tamper the witness and hamper the investigation. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

21/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CCB-TEAM XVII POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PUZHAL, CHENNAI.

CC to M.RAVIKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.3443/2022

Date :21/02/2022

TA-28/02/2022