



W.P.No.22354 of 2010

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.07.2022

CORAM :  
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD

**Writ Petition No.22354 of 2010**

V.Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,  
Cuddalore District,  
Cuddalore.

2.The Block Development Officer,  
Kurinjipadi Panchayat Union,  
Kurinjipadi,  
Cuddalore District.

3.The President,  
Kannadi Panchayat,  
Chinna Kannadi Post,  
Kurinjipadi Taluk,  
Cuddalore District.

\*4.M.Tamizh Mani

(R4 was impleaded as per order dated 19.01.2011 made in M.P.No.3 of 2010)

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records in proceedings in letter No.Nil dated 31.03.2008 passed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to allow the



W.P.No.22354 of 2010

petitioner to immediately rejoin duty as Clerk in the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent Panchayat with all concomitant benefits.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.W.M.Abdul Majeed

For Respondent 1 : Mr.G.Nanmaran,  
Special Government Pleader

For Respondent 2 : Mr.P.S.Sivashanmuga Sundaram

For Respondent 3 & 4 : Mr.R.Ravichandran

### **ORDER**

The relief sought by the petitioner in this writ petition is to direct the respondents to call for the records in proceedings in letter No.Nil dated 31.03.2008 passed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to allow the petitioner to immediately rejoin the duty as a Clerk in the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent Panchayat with all concomitant benefits.

2. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the fourth respondent submitted an additional counter affidavit dated 28.06.2022, stating that the relief sought in this writ petition has



W.P.No.22354 of 2010

become infructuous since the petitioner was suspended from service as early as on 31.03.2008 and subsequently, dismissed from service on 31.03.2009.

However, the said writ petition was filed on 28.09.2010. Therefore, the learned counsel appearing for the fourth respondent prayed that this Court may be pleased to dismiss the above writ petition as infructuous.

3. In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel for the fourth respondent, nothing survives in this writ petition. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

**04.07.2022**

Index : Yes/No  
Speaking Order : Yes/No  
vm

To:

1.The District Collector,  
Cuddalore District,  
Cuddalore.

2.The Block Development Officer,  
Kurinjipadi Panchayat Union,  
Kurinjipadi,  
Cuddalore District.

Page No.3 of 5



W.P.No.22354 of 2010

3.The President,  
Kannadi Panchayat,  
Chinna Kannadi Post,  
Kurinjipadi Taluk,  
Cuddalore District.

**J.SATHYA NARAYANA PRASAD,J.**

vm

**W.P.No.22354 of 2010**



WEB COPY



W.P.No.22354 of 2010

**04.07.2022**