



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Fourteenth day of February Two Thousand Twenty Two

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice R. PONGIAPPAN
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.3419 of 2022

1 VADIVEL
2 YOSATHA
3 ANANDHAN
4 GEETHA

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PACHAL POLICE STATION,
THIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO. 23/2022.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.A.VENKATESAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR. S.SANTHOSH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under sections 294(b), 323, 506(i) of IPC in Crime No.23 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were assaulted the defacto complainant and his son due to which, they admitted in the hospital, and also the petitioners abused them in filthy language. Hence the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioners are the first offenders and the injured discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent Police raised objection stating that the investigation is pending. However he fairly admits that the person who sustained injury is now discharged from the hospital after completing treatment. Further he would admit that the petitioners are the first offenders.

5. Taking note of all the above said aspects into consideration and having regard to the nature of the offence committed by the petitioners, this Court came to the conclusion that for the completion of investigation, custodial interrogation of the petitioners may not be necessary in this case. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Chengam, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or to the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., for a period of fifteen (15) days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail



by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

14/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT,
CHENGAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PACHAL POLICE STATION,
THIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S.A.VENKATESAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.2369

CRL OP.3419/2022

Date :14/02/2022

INBA~16/02/2022