



4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the investigation is pending. However, he admits that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are the neighbours and as of now, the injured was discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The submissions made by the learned counsels appearing on either side are considered. This case has been registered against these petitioners and two others for the offenses punishable under the Sections **294(b), 324 and 307 of IPC**. The Accused 1 and 3 are already released on bail by this Court. Though there was a clear overt act is against these petitioners, considering the fact that the persons, who sustained injury are discharged from the hospital, custodial interrogation may not be necessary for completing the investigation.

6.Taking all the above aspects into consideration, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **Learned Judicial Magistrate, Chengam** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) each**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) **the petitioners shall report before the Palani Town Police Station daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.**

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the



petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

WEB COPY

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

10/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
CHENGAM, TIRUVANNAMALAI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUVANNAMALAI. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHENGAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT.

5 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
PALANI TOWN POLICE STATION,
DINDUGAL DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.S.PANNEER SELVAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.2232

CRL OP.3220/2022

Date :10/02/2022

TA-16/02/2022