



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Tenth day of February Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice R. PONGIAPPAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.3224 of 2022

SARAVANAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BARUR POLICE STATION,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT
CRIME NO.04 OF 2022.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. E.KANNADASAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.SANTHOSH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under sections **4(1)(g)**, **4(1)(b)**, **4(1-A)** of **Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act** in Crime No.4 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent police along with his subordinates are in regular patrol, they found that the petitioner was found in illegal transportation of 50 litres of ID arrack. Hence the complaint was registered by the Law Enforcing Agency.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that he has been falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected with the alleged occurrence. Hence, he pleads for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police raised objection stating that as of now, the investigation is pending. He also submit that the properties which are all involved during the alleged occurrence have been recovered and it is in the custody of the respondent police.

5.Submissions made by the learned Counsels on either sides are considered.

6. The respondent police registered a case against the petitioner for the offence punishable under sections 4(1)(g) 4(1)(b), 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act. Admittedly, the properties which are required for completing the investigation have already been recovered by the respondent police. Hence, custodial interrogation may not be necessary for completing the investigation.

7.Taking all the above aspects into consideration, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **D.M. Cum J.M. Pochampalli** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-
10/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, POCHAMPALLI,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
KRISHNAGIRI. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BARUR POLICE STATION,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S. E.KANNADASAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.2252

CRL OP.3224/2022

Date :10/02/2022

TA-16/02/2022