



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

2. According to the learned counsel for the applicant, due to a typographical error, in paragraph No.3(b) of the Joint Memorandum of Compromise, it has been mentioned that item No.4 of the property has been allotted to the plaintiffs, but, as per the agreed terms, it has been allotted to the **"defendant"**.

3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff also drew the attention of this Court to item No.4 of the property, which is found in page No.9 of the Memorandum of Compromise, wherein, it has been clearly mentioned that item No.4 is allotted to the defendant.

4. Learned counsel for the defendant has also got no objection for allowing this application.

5. The same is recorded as prayed for.



WEB COPY



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

sr/rgi

6. After giving due consideration to the affidavit filed in support of the application and after going through the Memorandum of Compromise and also after hearing the learned counsel for the respective parties, this Court is inclined to allow the application, as prayed for.

Accordingly, this application is allowed.

04.02.2022

sr/rgi

A.No.413 of 2022 in C.S.No.327 of 2021