



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Eighth day of February Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice R. PONGIAPPAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.2916 of 2022

SURESH

[ PETITIONER / ACCUSED ]

Vs

STATE REP BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
STEEL PLANT POLICE STATION,  
SALEM CITY.  
(CRIME NO.16/2022)

[ RESPONDENT ]

For Petitioner : M/S.R.MARUDHACHALAMURTHY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.SANTHOSH, Govt. Advocate ( Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under section 294(b), 420, 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.16 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that accused/A1 and the defacto complainant worked together in a private concern six years back and well known to each other. While so, accused/A1 approached the defacto complainant to spend an amount of Rs.30,00,000/- for obtaining Government Tender and informed him that the petitioner herein could get Government Tender of Aavin Milk Corporation as he was working there. By believing the words of A1, the defacto complainant invested an amount of Rs.19,50,000/- and thereafter the petitioner neither obtained the tender nor returned back the money. Hence the complaint was registered by the Law Enforcing Agency.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offences as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent submits that the investigation is almost completed. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and that the averments found in the F.I.R disclose the fact that the petitioner being arrayed as A3 has not received any amount from the defacto complainant, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Salem, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of thirty days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-

08/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned  
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
NO.I, SALEM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
SALEM DISTRICT [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
STEEL PLANT POLICE STATION,  
SALEM CITY.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.R.MARUDHACHALAMURTHY Advocate on payment of  
necessary charges SR.No.2115

CRL OP.2916/2022

Date :08/02/2022

CSK 10/02/2022