



WEB COPY

W.P.No.13954 of 2016



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.10.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No. 13954 of 2016

and

W.M.P.Nos. 12212 & 12213 of 2016

Mrs. S. Kamalaveni

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Tiruvannamalai.

2. The Commissioner,
Peranamallur Panchayat Union,
Tiruvannamalai District.

3. C.Thirupurasundari

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to order bearing Na.Ka.No. 10791/2014/NMS-1 dated 29.02.2016 of the first respondent herein and quash the same and further direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Noon Meal Organiser.



For Petitioner : Mrs. T. Hemalatha
For Respondents : Mr. V. Arun
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by
Mrs. S. Mythreyechandru
Special Government Pleader
for R1
Mr. R. Kumaravel
for R2
R3- Not ready in notice

ORDER

The appointment of the third respondent as noon meal organizer dated 29.02.2016, is under challenge in the present writ petition.

2. The petitioner states that she participated in the process of selection for appointment to the post of noon meal organizer at Elementary School (Public), Madam Panchayat Union in Peranamallur Panchayat Union.

3. The petitioner submitted all necessary certificates before the selection committee at the time of interview to her job and service. The third respondent who is junior in age was appointed as noon meal organizer.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner during the relevant point of time was aged about 39 years and the third respondent was 33 years and thus the petitioner would have provided an opportunity for selection and appointment to the post of noon meal organizer.

5. As per the guidelines issued by the Government for selection to the post of noon meal organizer in G.O.Ms.No. 163 Social Welfare and Noon Meal Scheme (NMP-2) Department dated 18.08.2010 no age limit has been fixed. Thus the age of the candidate is immaterial for the purpose of selection to the post of noon meal organizer. As far as third respondent is concerned, she is also fully qualified for appointment to the post of noon meal organizer as she is residing within the radius of three kilometre and further third respondent is a disabled person and thus there is no infirmity in respect of appointment of the third respondent as noon meal organizer in the sector.

6. In view of the fact that the petitioner has not established any eligible ground for the purpose of assailing the appointment order of the third respondent, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.



W.P.No.13954 of 20



19.10.2022

WEB COPY

mrn

Index : Yes / No

Speaking order / Non-Speaking order

To

1. The District Collector,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Tiruvannamalai.
2. The Commissioner,
Peranamallur Panchayat Union,
Tiruvannamalai District.

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

mrn



WEB COPY

W.P.No.13954 of 2016



W.P.No. 13954 of 2016
and
W.M.P.Nos. 12212 & 12213 of 2016

19.10.2022