



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Seventh day of February Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice R. PONGIAPPAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.2809 of 2022

AAKASH

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
J-11 KANNAGI NAGAR POLICE STATION,
CEHNNAI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.1190/2021)

For Petitioner : M/S.R.NAVEEN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.SANTHOSH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under sections 448, 427, 294(b), 506(2) of IPC., in Crime No.1190 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is well known to defacto complainant. On 04.11.2021, the petitioner along with other accused, due to previous enmity trespassed into the defacto complainant's house and by using hammer and wooden logs damaged all the articles in house. They have also threatened the neighbours by showing a knife. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any such offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police raised objection stating that if the petitioner is released on bail he may try to tamper the witness and hamper the



investigation. However he admits that as of now, no previous case is pending against this petitioner. He also submitted that investigation is almost completed.

5. In view of the above submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for either side and also considering the fact that investigation is almost completed, custodial interrogation may not be necessary for completing the investigation, hence this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate I, Alandur on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-
07/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-I,
ALANDUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHENGALPATTU [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
J-11 KANNAGI NAGAR POLICE STATION,
CEHNNAI DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.R.NAVEEN Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.No.2033

CRL OP.2809/2022

Date :07/02/2022

CSK 15/02/2022