



WEB COPY



C.M.P.Nos.2386 & 2388 of 2022
in
S.A.No.862 of 2005

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN.J.

These two applications have been filed consequent to the fact that the 5th respondent who had been shown as minor had attained the age of majority and therefore, capable of being represented in her own capacity. The applications are filed to discharge guardian and to recognize her as major.

2.In the affidavit filed in support of the said applications, it had been stated that at the time when the Second Appeal was filed, the 5th respondent who was shown as being 14 years, by any mathematical calculation in the year 2022, should have crossed the age of 18.

3.Heard Mr.N.P.Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent.

4.Both the Civil Miscellaneous Petitions are allowed.



WEB COPY



C.V.KARTHIKEYAN.,J

smv

5.The Registry in the cause title may note that the 5th respondent had been declared as major and therefore, delete the prefix minor and also she is represented by her mother as 1st respondent.

6.Call the Second Appeal for advancing arguments on 05.07.2022.

17.06.2022

smv

C.M.P.Nos.2386 & 2388 of 2022
in
S.A.No.862 of 2005