



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.2538 of 2022

Rathinavel

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Periyathatchur Police Station,
Villupuram.
(Crime No.163 of 2021)

..Respondent/complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory Bail in the event of
his arrest in Crime No.163 of 2021 pending investigation on the file
of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Bakiyaraj

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections
379 of IPC r/w 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation
Act), 1957, in Crime No.163 of 2021, on the file of the respondent
police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 02.09.2021, when the
respondent police were on the regular patrol duty at their
jurisdiction, they found that the petitioner had illegally
transported 1/4 unit of river sand by using Bullock Cart, without any
valid licence. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any
offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instructions, the



learned counsel further submits that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.3,000/- to any Charitable Purpose as may be directed by this Court and he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that the petitioner had illegally transported 1/4 unit of river sand by using Bullock Cart, without any valid licence, without any valid licence.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made by the both counsel and also the fact that the petitioner has willfully and on his own volition agreed to contribute a sum of Rs.3,000/- for charitable purpose, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tindivanam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.3,000/- (Rupees three thousand only) to the registered Advocate clerk Association, Villupuram, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below;

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for an interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[f] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

[g] if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC;

-sd/-

03/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
TINDIVANAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VILLUPURAM DISTRICT (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERIYATHATCHUR POLICE STATION,
VILLUPURAM.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATE CLERK ASSOCIATION,
VILLUPURAM.

+1 CC to M/S.P.BAKIYARAJ Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.1879

CRL OP.2538/2022

Date :03/02/2022

INBA~11/02/2022