



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.2412 of 2022

S.A.Raja Sekaran

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Ranipet Police Station,
Ranipet District.
Crime No.813 of 2021

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No.813 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. S.Senthilvel

For Respondent : Mr. A.Gokulakrishanan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 468, 471 of IPC, in Crime Number 813 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused collected a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- from the defacto complainant and thereby issued a fake appointment order. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged occurrence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner stating that there are three previous case pending against the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering that the petitioner is a retired Revenue Inspector and if he released on bail he will not abscond, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned DM-Cum-JM, Ranipet on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police on every Tuesday at 10.30.am., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



[f] if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC;

WEB COPY

-sd/-
03/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RANIPET.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE DISTRICT. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RANIPET POLICE STATION,
RANIPET DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.D.BALAJI Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.2412/2022

Date :03/02/2022

RW 14/02/2022