



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Seventh day of February Two Thousand Twenty Two

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice R. PONGIAPPAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.2880 of 2022

R.RAJ KUMAR

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MELPATTI POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT-635805,
(CRIME NO.22/2022).

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.T.DHASARATHAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR. S.SANTHOSH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 379, 430 IPC and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.22 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 24.01.2022, while the defacto complainant along with his police team was doing regular checkup near Keel Karkoor Village, they found the petitioner illegally transported 1 unit of lake sand in Mahindra Tractor bearing Registration Number N29 E 6224 IBF along with trailer. Subsequently, lorry was seized and the driver was escaped and later they came to know that the vehicle belongs to the petitioner. Hence this complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.5,000/- to any charitable trust. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent raised objection stating that during the relevant point of time the petitioner had illegally transported 1 unit of lake sand without any permission. However, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Submissions made by the learned counsel on either side were considered.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by both sides, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the credit of the Cancer Institute (WIA) (Regional Cancer Centre), Adyar, Chennai - 600020, bearing A/c.No.149710011005477, Andhra Bank, Madhya Kailash Branch within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below.

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioner is directed to report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

07/02/2022

WEB COPY

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
GUDIYATHAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VELLORE DISTRICT (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MELPATTI POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT- 635805.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE CANCER INSTITUTE (WIA)
(REGIONAL CANCER CENTRE),
ADYAR, CHENNAI-600020,
BEARING A/C.NO.149710011005477,
ANDHRA BANK, MADHYA KAILASH BRANCH.

+1 CC to M/S.T.DHASARATHAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.2106

CRL OP.2880/2022

Date :07/02/2022

INBA~10/02/2022