



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.01.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.13012 of 2016

and

W.M.P.Nos.11365 & 11366 of 2016

Vetrivel Forgings, HTSC.No.214
G-48 & G-49, Sidco Industrial Estate,
Kakkalur, Tiruvallur - by its
Authorized Signatory T.B.Nathan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited,
Rep. by its Chairman,
No.800, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.
2. The Deputy Financial Controller,
Kanchipuram Electricity Distribution Circle,
TANGEDCO, Kanchipuram.
3. Central Electricity Authority,
Represented by its Chairperson,
4th Floor, Sewa Bhawan, R.K.Puram,
New Delhi -110 066.
4. Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission,
Represented by its Secretary,
19-A, Rukmini Lakshmipathy Salai,
(Marshall's Road), Egmore,
Chennai - 600 008.

... Respondents

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the 2nd respondent's impugned CC bills dated 31.03.2016 issued by the 2nd respondent insofar as it relates to the levy of Harmonic Compensation Charges to a tune of Rs.17,52,313/- in Serial No.15 (Harmonics Compensation Charges) and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary, without the authority of law and against the provisions of the CEA (Technical Standards for Connectivity to the Grid) Regulations 2007 and consequently forbearing the 1st and 2nd respondent from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting surcharges for not providing the harmonic controls



WEB COPY

from the petitioner who is connected with 11 KV supply line.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Saravanakumar

For R1 and R2 : Mr.L.Jai Venkatesh

For R3 and R4 : No Appearance

ORDER

The impugned CC bills issued by the 2nd respondent, dated 31.03.2016, is sought to be quashed in the present writ petition and a further direction is also sought to forbear the 1st and 2nd respondent from in any manner levying, demanding and/or collecting surcharges for not providing the harmonic controls from the petitioner, who is connected with 11 KV supply line.

2. The relief sought for in the present writ petition is similar to the relief sought for by the writ petitioners in a batch of writ petitions in W.P.No.25 of 2015 [M/s.S.Palaniyandi Mudaliar Memorial Hospital v. TANGEDCO], etc. and batch, which was decided by this Court on 05.06.2017.

3. The relevant paragraphs of the said order are extracted hereunder :

"24. In view of the above, since the harmonics have several undesirable effects and affects power quality, on 21.02.2007, the Central Electricity Authority (CEA) introduced a new Regulation and accordingly, its Technical Standards for Connectivity to the Grid Regulations, 2007, came for enforcement. Applicability of the Regulations is provided in Regulation 3, which reads as under:

"3. Applicability of the Regulations - These Regulations shall be applicable to all the users, requesters, Central Transmission Utility and State Transmission Utility."

It is clear from the above that the the said Regulation is applicable only to four categories, namely, Users, Requesters, Central Transmission Utility and State Transmission Utility.

25. In this context, let me find out the meaning given under the CEA Regulations, 2007, for Users and Requesters. Section 2(34) defines the meaning of "User", which is given as under:-

"2(34)-- User means a person such



WEB COPY

as, a Generating Company including captive generating plant or Transmission Licensee (other than the Central Transmission Utility and State Transmission Utility) or Distribution Licensee or Bulk Consumer, whose electrical plant is connected to the grid at voltage level 33kv and above."

Section 2(25) defines the meaning of "Requester", which is given as under:-

"2(25)-- Requester means a person, such as a Generating Company including captive generating plant or Transmission Licensee (excluding Central Transmission Utility and State Transmission Utility) or Distribution Licensee or Bulk Consumer, who is seeking connection of his new or expanded electrical plant to the Grid at voltage level 33kv and above."

A conjoint reading of the above said provisions show that a person such as, Generating Company including captive generating plant or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee or Bulk Consumer, whose electrical plant is connected to the grid at voltage level 33 kv and above. Therefore, it is clear that the above said Regulations are applicable to only those consumers whose electrical plant is connected to the Grid at the voltage level of 33kv and above, hence, the petitioners, who are connected to the Grid at voltage level of 11kv/22kv supply lines, cannot be made applicable under the said Regulations.

26. Again, to be more clear, it is also pertinent to refer to Section 34 of the Electricity Act, 2003, dealing with the Grid Standards, which is given as under:-

"34. Grid Standards.----- Every transmission licensee shall comply with such technical standards, of operation and maintenance of transmission lines, in accordance with the Grid Standards, as may be specified by the Authority."

It is clear from the above that Section 34 of the Electricity Act, 2003, mandates every transmission licensee to comply with such technical standards of operation and maintenance as may be specified by the Authority, which means, as per Section 2(6) of the Act,



WEB COPY

the Central Electricity Authority (CEA) is the Authority as referred to in sub-section (1) of Section 70. Thus, the CEA, established under Section 70 and whose functions are set forth in Section 73, has the exclusive powers under Section 73(d) of the Act. It is also pertinent to extract Section 73(d) of the Act, which is given as under:-

"(d) specify the Grid Standards for operation and maintenance of transmission lines."

27. In view of the above legal position, the Central Electricity Authority (CEA), being an Apex Body, is the appropriate authority on the issue of Grid Standards and to ensure both National Grid Code and State Grid Code are consistent and there cannot be any inconsistencies of different standards at the State level. This is purely for the purpose of ensuring uniform operation across the country. It is also an admitted fact that the National Grid Code and the State Grid Code do not have any provision with regard to harmonics.

28. In this context, it is relevant to refer to Clause 3 of Part IV of the CEA Regulations, 2007, which is given as under:-

"3. Voltage and Current Harmonics --

(1) The total harmonic distortion for voltage at the connection point shall not exceed 5% with no individual harmonic higher than 3%.

(2) The total harmonic distortion for current drawn from the transmission system at the connection point shall not exceed 8%.

(3) The limits prescribed in (1) and (2) shall be implemented in a phased manner so as to achieve complete compliance not later than five years from the date of publication of these regulations in the official Gazette."

Although Clause 3 of Part IV of the CEA Regulations, 2007, says that the total harmonic distortion for voltage at the connection point shall not exceed 5% with no individual harmonic higher than 3% and that the total harmonic for current drawn from the transmission system at the connection point shall not



WEB COPY

exceed 8%, the limits prescribed as mentioned above shall be implemented in a phased manner so as to achieve complete compliance not later than five years from the date of publication of these regulations in the official Gazette.

29. It is an admitted fact that the CEA Regulations came into force in the year 2007, however, even after a lapse of 9 years, the TANGEDCO has not published any notification in the official Gazette enforcing the consumers, who are connected with 11kv/22kv supply lines, to install harmonic filers so as to levy harmonic compensation charges.

30. Moreover, when this Court directed the learned Additional Solicitor General of India to get instruction whether the consumers connected to a distribution system irrespective of the capacity of supply they receive including 11kv and 22kv supply lines need to provide harmonic control equipments, as a reply thereto, the Chief Engineer (Legal), Central Electricity Authority, Ministry of Power, New Delhi, in his letter dated 10.08.2015, has clarified that the CEA Regulations, with respect to compensation on account of harmonic distortions, are applicable to only those consumers, who are connected to 33kv or above, namely, only bulk consumers. For better appreciation, relevant portion of the said communication is extracted below:-

"This is reference to your letter dated 07.08.2015 on the above subject. It is to inform that almost 305 case are filed in the Hon'ble Court by the petitioners having grievances similar to this case. It is to submit that:

(i) CEA Regulation with respect to compensation on account of harmonic distortions is applicable to only those consumers who are connected at 33kv or above.

(ii) Further any consumer who is connected below 33kv to the distribution system is not governed by the Grid Standards for connectivity to the Grid.

(iii) The Grid is defined under Electricity Act, 2003, stating that the Grid is high voltage backbone system of inter-connected transmission lines, sub station and generating plants.

Therefore, only systems which can



WEB COPY

the harmonic distortion levels, has not declared harmonic distortion levels to the consumers connected with 11kv/22kv either through the CEA Regulations, 2007, or by any other relevant provisions. Therefore, until the CEA prescribes any standard of harmonics for 11kv/22kv supply lines consumers and makes them also obligatory for harmonic controls, no obligation can be cast upon the 11kv/22kv supply lines consumers for compliance.

33. Taking support from Sections 50, 86 and 181 of the Act read with Regulation 4(1)(iv) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code, learned Additional Advocate General sought to sustain the impugned order levying harmonic charges on the 11kv/22kv supply line consumers. In this context, let me extract below the above said provisions:

50. The Electricity Supply Code :-

The State Commission shall specify an Electricity Supply Code to provide for recovery of electricity charges, intervals for billing of electricity charges, disconnection of supply of electricity for non-payment thereof, restoration of supply of electricity, tampering, distress or damage to electrical plant, electric lines or meter, entry of distribution licensee or any person acting on his behalf for disconnecting supply and removing the meter, entry for replacing, altering or maintaining electric lines or electrical plant or meter.

"Section 86 - Functions of State Commission.-

(1) The State Commission shall discharge the following functions, namely:--

(a) determine the tariff for generation, supply, transmission and wheeling of electricity, wholesale, bulk or retail, as the case may be, within the State: Provided that where open access has been permitted to a category of consumers under section 42, the State Commission shall determine only the wheeling charges and surcharge thereon, if any, for the said category of consumers;

(b) regulate electricity purchase and procurement process of distribution licensees including the price at which electricity shall be procured from the generating



in the matter of levying of harmonic charges on the 11kv/22kv supply line consumers.

34. Likewise, Section 181 gives power to the State Commission to make Regulations consistent with the Act and Rules to carry out the provisions of this Act. By exercising such power, the Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission had issued the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code, wherein the Regulation 4 (1)(iv) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code, which is extracted below, deals with additional charges for harmonic dumping.

"4.Charges recoverable by the Licensee - The charges, recoverable by the Licensee from the consumers are:-

xxxx


(1). Tariff related charges, namely, -

(iv). Additional charges for harmonics dumping

Where any equipment installed by a consumer generates harmonics, the consumer shall provide adequate harmonic suppression units to avoid dumping of harmonics into Licensee's distribution system and the Licensee is at liberty to provide suitable metering equipment to measure the harmonic level pursuant to such harmonic. Where the consumer fails to provide such units, he shall be liable to pay compensation at such rates as the Commission may declare from time to time."

In view of Regulation 4(1)(iv) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Supply Code, although the State has got power to direct the consumers to install harmonic filters irrespective of their voltage connection as stated by learned Additional Advocate General for the TANGEDCO, the same cannot be sustained in view of non-specification of any standard of harmonics for 11kv/22kv/supply line consumers by the CEA, who, being an Apex Body as per Section 73(d) of the Act, has exclusive powers to specify the Grid Standards for operation and maintenance of transmission lines.

35. Thus, for all the reasons stated above, the impugned demands are quashed. Consequently, the writ petitions are allowed. No Costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed. "



4. In view of the fact that the case of the petitioner is also similar to that of the cases cited supra, the petitioner is also entitled to the relief. Accordingly, the impugned CC bill is quashed and the writ petition is allowed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-VI)

//True copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

raja/shr

To

1. The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited,
No.800, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.
2. The Deputy Financial Controller,
Kanchipuram Electricity Distribution Circle,
TANGEDCO, Kanchipuram.
3. The Chairperson,
Central Electricity Authority,
4th Floor, Sewa Bhawan, R.K.Puram,
New Delhi -110 066.
4. The Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission,
19-A, Rukmini Lakshmipathy Salai,
(Marshall's Road), Egmore,
Chennai - 600 008.

+1cc to Mr.RS.Pandiyaraj, Advocate SR.No.2813

W.P.No.13012 of 2016

and

W.M.P.Nos.11365 & 11366 of 2016

SVI (CO)

GMV (21/02/2022)