



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:01.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.2106 of 2022

Siva @ Sivaraja

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Mangalamedu Police Station,
Perambalur District.
CrimeNo.951 of 2021.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest
by the respondent police in Cr.No.951 of 2021 on the file of the
respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s. S.Rithika

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Govt. Advocate (crl. side)

ORDER

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections
147, 323, 427 and 393 of I.P.C, in Crime No.951 of 2021, on the file
of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with
other accused were allegedly consuming in public place. When the
defacto complainant questioned the same, there arose a quarrel between
the petitioner and the accused persons, thereby the petitioner
snatched the defacto complainant's gold chain. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any
offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instructions, the



learned counsel further submits that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- to any Charitable Purpose as may be directed by this Court and he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and further submitted that the property has been recovered. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made by the both counsel and also the fact that the petitioner has willfully and on her own volition agreed to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- for charitable purpose, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the Learned Judicial Magistrate, Kunnam on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) to the Registered Advocate Clerks Association at Perambalur within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below;

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Tuesday at 10.30.a.m., until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against



the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

WEB COPY

[g] if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC;

-sd/-

01/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KUNNAM, PERAMBALUR DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
PERAMBALUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANGALAMEDU POLICE STATION,
PERAMBALUR DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE REGISTERED
ADVOCATE CLERK ASSOCIATION, PERAMBALUR.

+1CC to M/S.M.VIJAYA RAGAVAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.No.1592

CRL OP.2106/2022

Date :01/02/2022

CSK 10/02/2022