

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.04.2022

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE G.CHANDRASEKHARAN

Crl.O.P.Nos.8438 & 8439 of 2015

and

Crl.M.P.Nos.1 & 1 of 2015

Sita Umamaheswaran

.. Petitioner/Accused No.2 in
Crl.O.P.No.8438 of 2015

1.Brigadier V.N.Money (Retd.)
Sr.Advisor,
The PSBB Learning Leadership Academy
No.52, Laxmipura,
Sahasra Deepika Road,
Off.Bannerghatta Main Road,
Bangalore- 560 083.

2.Sharad Agarwal,
Authorised Signatory,
The PSBB Millennium Schools,
No.79, Anupam Apartments,
MB Road,
Delhi – 110068.

3.Shanthanu Prakash
Managing Trustee,
Learning Leadership Foundation,
C-11/1, DLF City II,
Gurgaon – 122002,
Haryana.

.. Petitioners/Accused No.1, 3 & 4 in
Crl.O.P.No.8439 of 2015

Vs.

- 1.State Rep by its
The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch, EDF – III,
Chennai.
(Cr.No.84 of 2014) ..1st Respondent/complainant in both Crl.O.Ps.
- 2.K.R.Sivaraman .. 2nd Respondent/Defacto complainant
in both Crl.O.Ps.

COMMON PRAYER: Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to call for all the records in Crime No.84 of 2014, on the file of 1st respondent and quash the same.

In both Crl.O.Ps

For Petitioners : Ms.Annapoorani for
M/s.Thriyambak J.Kannan
For 1st Respondent : Mr.R.Murthi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

COMMON ORDER

These Criminal Original Petitions have been filed to call for all the records in Crime No.84 of 2014, on the file of 1st respondent and quash the same.

2.When the matters are taken up, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners and the second respondent had amicably settled the issues and in fact the second respondent had filed an affidavit that all the claims made in the complaint dated 24.01.2014 had been fully and finally settled. He consented for quashing and closure of the FIR in Crime No.84 of 2014 dated 02.05.2014.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that FIR was registered on 02.05.2014, in Crime No.84 of 2014, for the offences under Sections 406, 420, r/w 34, 294 (b), 506(i) IPC. He also submitted that the defacto complainant had given a letter to the Inspector of Police, Central Crime Branch, EDF – III, Chennai, stating not to take any further action against the accused, since he had solved and settled the issues with the accused amicably and he would like to withdraw the case.

4.Considering the settlement of disputes between the parties amicably and the fact that the second respondent has no objection to quash the criminal proceedings against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to allow both criminal original petitions and quash the FIR in Crime No.84 of 2014. Accordingly, these criminal original petitions are allowed and the affidavit and letter of the second respondent shall form part of this order. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

29.04.2022

sli

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order

G.CHANDRASEKHARAN.,J

sli

To:

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch, EDF – III,
Chennai,
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.Nos.8438 & 8439 of 2015

29.04.2022