



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.2042 of 2022

Ramki

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Thalaivasal Police Station
Salem District
(Crime No.3 of 2022)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in Crime No.3 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Ganesan

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
(Government Advocate Cr1. Side)

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.01.2022 for the offences under Sections 4(1)(aa) and 4(1-A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.3 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 02.01.2022, he defato complainant had bought 1 litre ID Arrack from the accused and consumed it and after consuming the same, he suffered stomach pain and after taking treatment, he lodged a complaint. Based on the which, the respondent police went to the spot and conducted search and found 57 litres of ID Arrack. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and a false case has been foisted against him and that the petitioner has been suffering incarceration for more than 30 days from 02.01.2022. However, on instructions he would submit that



the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a substantial amount to any charitable institute as may be directed by this Court without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court and would pray for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would raise objection stating that the petitioner was found in possession of 60 litres of ID Arrack containing poisonous substances but admits that there is no previous case against the petitioner and the investigation is almost completed.

5. In order to curb illegal activities and considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall be directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), to the credit of the Registered Advocate Clerks Association, Attur, without prejudice to his rights and contentions. The amount so deposited shall be utilised by the said Association for the welfare of the Advocate Clerks.

6. It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioner to the said Association would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of bail.

7. Considering the fact above facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that there is no previous case against the petitioner and the investigation is almost completed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

(a) Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing his own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only), before the Superintendent of the concerned prison, in which the petitioner has been confined and thereafter on his release;

(b) the petitioner shall make non-refundable deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) through demand draft to the Registered Advocate Clerks Association, Attur, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and on such deposit, the petitioner shall execute two sureties for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, before the Learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Attur, within 15 days from the date of commencement of the Court's normal functioning, failing which the bail granted by this Court shall stand dismissed automatically;



(c) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(d) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Monday and Sunday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

(e) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;

(f) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(h) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(i) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

6. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

01/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-II,
ATTUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
SALEM DISTRICT [FOR INFORMATION]



3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THALAIVASAL POLICE STATION,
SALEM DISTRICT.

4 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
DISTRICT JAIL, ATTUR, SALEM DISTRICT.

5 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

6 THE REGISTERED
ADVOCATE CLERK ASSOCIATION,
ATTUR.

CC to T.GANESAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.2042/2022

Date :01/02/2022

CSK 02/02/2022