



CrI.O.P.No.2010 of 2022
in
CrI.A.SR.No.403 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the cheque was issued pursuant to a dissolution of partnership between the petitioner and the accused. Despite specific answers elicited from the accused during cross examination with regard to the liability and the circumstances under which the cheque was issued by the accused, the Trial Court had not properly analysed the evidence and had acquitted the accused. Admittedly, elder brother of the accused was working in a private company PRICOL and he was only a name lender to certain transactions whereas the Trial Court wrongly believed him and erred in acquitting the accused. Prima facie case has been made out for grant of leave.

2. Leave is granted.

02.02.2022

Note: Registry is directed to number the appeal, if it is otherwise in order.

yri/shk