



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31.01.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.1992 of 2022

1.Viji @ Vijaya Kumar
2.Murali

... Petitioners

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Sathiyamangalam Police Station,
Viluppuram District.
Crime No. 15 of 2022

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No. 15 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Sasikumar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC, r/w Section 4 of TNWH Act. in Crime Number 15 of 2022, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant while playing cricket. Thereby the petitioners attacked and assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners falsely implicated in the case and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that investigation almost completed and no one sustained injuries. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering that no one sustained injuries, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Gingee on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police on every Wednesday at 10.30.a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioners is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



[f] if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC;

WEB COPY

-sd/-
31/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
GINGEE

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VILLUPURAM [FOR INFORMATION].

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SATHIYAMANGALAM POLICE STATION
VILUPPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to V.R.APPASWAMEE Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.1500

CRL OP.1992/2022

Date :31/01/2022

JPA 07/02/2022