



S.A.Nos.815 and 816 of 2006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 08.11.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

S.A.Nos.815 and 816 of 2006
and C.M.P.No.1 of 2006

P.Kala .. appellant in S.A.No.815 of 2006

1.P.Kala

2.Periyasamy

3.P.Kanakaraj

.. appellants in S.A.No.816 of 2006

Versus

1.The Tahsildar,
Valpady Taluk.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Salem Taluk.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Valapady Taluk.

4.The Revenue Inspector
Belur, Valapady Taluk.

5.The Surveyor,
Belur, Valapady Taluk.

6.The Inspector of Police,
Valapady.



S.A.Nos.815 and 816 of 2006

WEB COPY

7.The Village Administrative Officer,
Pulidhikuttai Village,
Valapadi Taluk, Salem District.

8.P.Sekar .. Respondents in S.A.No.815 of 2006

1.P.Sekar

2.The Pulidhikuttai Village
Panchayat
Rep.by its President
Pulidhikuttai
Valapadi, Salem District.

.. Respondents in S.A.No.816 of 2006

Common Prayer: These Second Appeals have been filed under Section 100 of C.P.C., against the judgment and decree dated 21.12.2005 passed in A.S.Nos.128 & 130 of 2005 on the file of the Additional Subordinate Judge, Salem, confirming the judgment and decree dated 16.03.2005 passed in O.S.Nos.810 of 2000 & 355 of 2002 on the file of the 1st Additional District Munsif, Salem.

S.A.No.815 of 2006

For appellant	:	Mr.K.Selvaraj
For R1 to R6	:	M/s.Dr.S.Surya, Additional Government Pleader
For R7	:	M/s.Zeenath Begum
For R8	:	Notice served - No appearance

S.A.No.816 of 2006

For appellants	:	Mr.K.Selvaraj
For R1	:	M/s.Zeenath Begum



S.A.Nos.815 and 816 of 2006

JUDGMENT

WEB COPY

When these Second appeals are taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the appellants submitted that the dispute has already been settled between the parties amicably and he has also made an endorsement to that effect which reads as follows:

“May be withdrawn this S.A.Nos.815 & 816/2006. The matter is settled out of Court.”

2.In view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellants, since the dispute has already been settled between the parties, no further adjudication of the issues is required in these appeals.

3. Accordingly, these Second Appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.

No costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

08.11.2022

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No



WEB COPY



S.A.Nos.815 and 816 of 2006

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

gbi

To

- 1.The Tahsildar, Valpady Taluk.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer, Salem Taluk.
- 3.The Block Development Officer, Valapady Taluk.
- 4.The Revenue Inspector, Belur, Valapady Taluk.
- 5.The Surveyor, Belur, Valapady Taluk.
- 6.The Inspector of Police, Valapady.
- 7.The Village Administrative Officer,
Pulidhikuttai Village,
Valapadi Taluk, Salem District.
- 8.2.The Pulidhikuttai Village
Panchayat
Rep.by its President
Pulidhikuttai
Valapadi, Salem District.
- 9.The Additional Subordinate Judge, Salem.
- 10.The 1st Additional District Munsif, Salem.

S.A.Nos.815 and 816 of 2006

08.11.2022