



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.02.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL.O.P.NO.2162 OF 2022

1.A.Paranthaman

2.K.Naveen

3.K.Gopi

4.K.Praveen

5.S.Nisanth Kumar

6.K.Ajith

... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 6

Vs.

1. State rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
D-5 Marina, Chennai.

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.V.Ranjith


...2nd Respondent/De-facto complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.599 of 2021 dated 20.07.2021 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioners	:	Mr.G.Mohana Krishnan
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr.E.Raj Thilak
		Additional Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.599 of 2021, dated 20.07.2021, on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.



2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant lodged a complaint on 18.07.2021, at about 8.30 P.M., that the petitioners celebrated a birthday function and cut the cake with a sword. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and the petitioners threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequences and given him life threat. Therefore, on 20.07.2021, FIR was registered in Crime No.599 of 2021, based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant.

3.The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4.A joint memo of compromise, dated 21.01.2022, has been filed by the petitioners and the defacto complainant stating that the parties have resolved their disputes amicably and reached compromise. This Court also enquired both the parties who appeared in the video conferencing and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

5.Under such circumstances, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the First Information Report pending, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature. In the light of the guidelines given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in 2017 9 SCC 641-(Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrat), and after exercising due caution as advised by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in The State of Madhya Pradesh v. Dhruv Gurjar and Another reported in (2019) 2 MLJ Cr1 10), this Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C., quashes the First Information Report in Crime No.599 of 2021, on the file of the 1st respondent Police.

6. This Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the investigation in Crime No.599 of 2021, on the file of the 1st respondent police, is quashed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sli



To

1. The Inspector of Police,
D-5 Marina, Chennai.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.G.Mohana Krishnan, Advocate, S.R.No.6939

CRL.O.P.No.2162 of 2022

SJ(CO)
RLP(25/02/2022)