



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.01.2022

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.1842 of 2022

1. Vijayalakshmi
2. Padmavathy
3. Renuga
4. Poongavanam
5. Vinothini

... Petitioners

Vs.

State represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
T-10, Thirumullaivoyal Police Station,  
Chennai  
(Crime No.92 of 2022)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police in the above Crime No.92 of 2022 .


For Petitioners : Mr.P.Parthipan

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan  
Government Advocate (Cr1.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 447, 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of the Women Harassment Act in Cr.No.92 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that, there was a wordy quarrel between 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and the defacto complainant regarding money dispute, due to which, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant with deadly weapons, threatened her, harassed her, threatened her with dire consequences and caused injuries on her. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have not been committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there was a case in counter. Hence, the learned counsel prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl side) on instructions submits that the injured had taken treatment as out patient. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the submissions made by both counsel and also considering the fact that the injured had taken treatment as out patient, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for an interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2003) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR  
can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-  
28/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried  
into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
AMBATTUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
THIRUVALLUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
T-10, THIRUMULLAIVOYAL POLICE STATION,  
CHENNAI.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.P.PARTHIPAN Advocate on payment of necessary  
charges

CRL OP.1842/2022

Date :28/01/2022

MK:04/02/2022