



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.01.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.1678 of 2022

Subramaniam

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by
Inspector of Police,
HUDCO Police Station,
Krishnagiri District,
Crime No.571 of 2021

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.571 of 2021 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Balamurugan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Section 379 of IPC and section 21(1) of Mines & Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.571 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the Assistant Geologist, Geology and Mines Department has given a complaint before the respondent police alleging that the petitioner along with a compressor tractor bearing Reg.No.TN 16 E 2925 was involved in breaking of ordinary building stones. Hence,the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- to any Charitable Purpose as may be directed by this Court. Hence,he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, also considering the submissions made by the both counsel and also the fact that the petitioner has willfully and on his own volition agreed to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- for charitable purpose, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned District & Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the credit of the Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Namakkal District within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below;

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioner is directed to report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-

27/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI

2 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
HUDCO POLICE STATION,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATE CLERK
ASSOCIATION, NAMAKKAL DISTRICT.

CC to M/S. A.BALAMURUGAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.1276

CRL OP.1678/2022

Date :27/01/2022

RVR 03/02/2022