



Crl.O.P.No.1589 of 2022

Crl.O.P.No.1589 of 2022
in Crl.A.SR.No.48481 of 2021

A.D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

(This case has been heard through Video conference)

The learned counsel for the petitioner/complainant would submit that in the return memo cheque number had been correctly mentioned. However, a minor discrepancy had crept in the return memo due to the mistake committed by the Bank. The trial Court ignoring all other evidence placing reliance on the error committed by the Bank, had acquitted the accused. However, issuance of the cheque and the signature have not been denied by the respondent/accused.

2. Having heard the counsel and perused the materials available on record, this Court is of the opinion that a *prima facie* case is made out for grant of leave.

3. Leave granted.

28.01.2022.

tsh

Note : Registry is directed to number the appeal, if it is otherwise in order.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1589 of 2022

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA,J.
tsh

Crl.O.P.No.1589 of 2022
in
Crl.A.SR.No.48481 of 2021

28.01.2022.