



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.01.2022

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.1544 of 2022

Renu

... Petitioner

Versus

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Gudiyatham Taluk Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.9 of 2022)

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.9 of 2022 pending investigation on the file of the respondent.


For Petitioner : Mr.E.Kannadasan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 379, 430 of IPC r/w Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act 1957 in Crime No.9 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 09.01.2022 when the respondent police and his subordinates were in routine patrol duty at Poongulam Village, while at that time one Tractor with Trailer came in an opposite direction, on seeing the police party the driver of the Tractor abanded the vehicle and escape from the place of occurrence and the respondent police went and made search from the vehicle and found 1 unit of rough sand, on enquiry came to know that this petitioner was driven the vehicle at that time of occurrence and his son by name Mohan is the owner of the Tractor. After seeing the respondent, the persons ran away. Hence the complaint.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner illegally transported 1 unit of sand without any permission. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Gudiyatham, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the credit of the Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Vellore District within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below.


[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioner is directed to report before the respondent police on every Tuesday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been



imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

25/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
GUDIYATHAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE DISTRICT [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
GUDIYATHAM TALUK POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE REGISTERED
ADVOCATE CLERK ASSOCIATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.

CC to M/S E.KANNADASAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.1544/2022

Date :25/01/2022

CSK 07/02/2022