



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Fifteenth day of February Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.2631 of 2022

R. SENTHIL KUMAR

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KRISHNAPURAM POLICE STATION,
DHARMAPURI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.974 OF 2020.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.M.G.JEYASEELAN Advocate


For Respondent : MR. S.UDHYAKUMAR, Govt. Advocate (CrI. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.974 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running a lorry booking office at Madurai and he got an booking offer to transport Iron Scrap material through RN Transport to transport 26360 Kg of old iron scrap. The lorry was driven by one Ramesh and the defacto complainant had also given cash of Rs.20,000/- to the said driver that since lock down was declared, he cannot more the vehicle and that the vehicle has been halted at Hosur. Thereafter, the goods were kept under the custody of one Kanniram and photographs of the same were taken by the petitioner. After lock down was over, when the defacto complainant asked the said Kanniram to return the goods, it was informed that the goods were taken by the petitioner. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as stated by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate submitted that this is the second anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner and the subject properties were not recovered from the petitioner and hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that the subject properties were not recovered so far and Considering the serious allegation levelled against the petitioner and there is no change of circumstances in this case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

15/02/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KRISHNAPURAM POLICE STATION,
DHARMAPURI DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S.M.G.JEYASEELAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO. 2362

CRL OP.2631/2022

Date :15/02/2022

RW 24/02/2022